

TOWN OF AMHERST

P.O. Box 280 186 S. Main Street Amherst, VA 24521 Phone (434)946-7885 Fax (434)946-2087

November 8, 2010

Submitted Online (www.regulations.gov)

Water Docket Environmental Protection Agency Mailcode: 28221T 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20460

Submitted by Email (vabaytmdl@dcr.virginia.gov)

Department of Conservation and Recreation Commonwealth of Virginia 203 Governor Street Richmond, VA 23219

Re: EPA Water Docket ID No. EPA-R03-OW-2010-0736, Draft Total Maximum Daily Load

("TMDL") for the Chesapeake Bay; and Virginia Chesapeake Bay Watershed

Implementation Plan ("WIP")

Gentlemen:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on EPA's Draft TMDL for the Chesapeake Bay and Virginia's WIP.

The Town of Amherst owns and operates a municipal wastewater treatment plant ("WWTP") that cleans and discharges highly-treated wastewater within the Chesapeake Bay watershed pursuant to a state-issued National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permit.

The Town expects to do its part for the Bay restoration. In fact, our WWTP was improved at a cost of \$4.1 million just before the latest round of nutrient removal requirements were known or required. This has been quite a burden for a community whose population is only 2,251 to bear. We expect that the additional mandated nutrient removal features will cost additional millions of dollars.

We have significant concerns with EPA's Draft TMDL and object to EPA's proposed "backstop" actions against the Commonwealth of Virginia and our facility. EPA proposes to cut our facility's stringent nutrient wasteload allocations ("WLAs") currently set forth in Virginia's EPA-approved Water Quality Management Planning Regulation, 9VAC25-720, and Chesapeake Bay Watershed General Permit Regulation, 9VAC25-820 (collectively, the "Virginia Regulations").

Page 2 of 3 TMDL/Sewer Plant Regulation November 8, 2010

EPA is considering these potential cuts under a new EPA guidance letter on "reasonable assurance" and EPA's initial view that Virginia has given inadequate assurance that nonpoint sources (e.g., agricultural sources) will reduce their nutrient loads according to plan. We disagree with EPA's initial view given Virginia's good track record of achieving nonpoint reductions. We also question whether EPA's unpromulgated reasonable assurance guidance is even legal given that operates as if EPA's previously proposed but withdrawn reasonable assurance regulation had actually been put into effect.

We strongly oppose EPA's inequitable proposal to transfer more burden to our WWTP and similar point sources. We object to EPA's currently proposed "backstops" (4 mg/L TN and 0.3 mg/L TP at design flow) in lieu of the WLAs in the Virginia Regulations, and we also object to the threatened but not applied "full backstops" that would decrease the concentration basis further (3 mg/L TN and 0.1 mg/L TP at design flow) and also reduce the "flow basis" (2007 to 2009 average flow rather than design flow).

In addition, as the Chesapeake Bay Program has long ago determined, the James River does not influence mid-Bay water quality and any regulation of James River nutrient discharges should occur only for local water quality protection. Locally, the applicable water quality standard is chlorophyll standard adopted by Virginia in 2005 and approved by EPA. Since adoption of this standard, the State issued the Virginia Regulations governing WWTPs and other local governments have designed and constructed the required new facilities with long-term debt, which now must be repaid by the public over the next 20 to 30 years.

At this extremely late point in time, EPA has unilaterally changed the computer model it uses to judge the adequacy of Virginia's actions. Virginia, however, has determined in its WIP (September 2010) at pages 14-15 that the chlorophyll standard is faulty and that "additional scientific study is needed to provide a more precise and scientifically defensible basis for setting final nutrient allocations." We agree with this finding and determination by Virginia, and we also support Virginia's "Four Part James River Strategy" at pages 15-17 of the WIP to address these major technical problems. We strongly support the WIP with regard to its wastewater elements at pages 11-12 (Source Sector Strategy for Wastewater), at pages 14-17 (James River), and pages 38-50 (Section 5: Wastewater).

We understand that the Draft TMDL is fundamentally and materially flawed as a technical matter, especially with regards to the James River components. Serious chlorophyll standard and computer modeling deficiencies are thoroughly documented in the comments of the Virginia Association of Municipal Wastewater Agencies, Inc. ("VAMWA"). We request that EPA fully consider and address all of VAMWA's comments, which we generally support and hereby incorporate by reference as if fully set forth herein.

In closing, what is distinctly missing from EPA's Draft TMDL is any appreciation for the major commitments very recently made by EPA and Virginia (the State's adoption and EPA's approval of the Virginia Regulations in 2005 and 2007) and the major financial commitments that local governments have made to implement those requirements including incurring significant public

Page 3 of 3 TMDL/Sewer Plant Regulation November 8, 2010

debt (typically with 20 to 30 year repayment terms) and constructing major new facilities (typically built to last 20 to 30 years).

Simply put, the Town's recent WWTP improvements were designed and built to last for 20-30 years. We have absolutely no history of local health problems, significant "notice of violation" or "fish kills" related to our operation. Constantly changing regulations make it extremely difficult for the Town to justify additional capital expenditures unless and until we have some certainty that the regulations will stay fixed for a reasonable period.

We object to the waste inherent in EPA's proposed override of the Virginia Regulations and Virginia WIP through the Draft TMDL and its elements that relate to our WLAs.

For further information, please contact me at 434/946-7885. Thank you in advance for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

Jack Hobbs Town Manager

cc: Senator Mark Warner (Via fax to 202-224-6295)

Senator Jim Webb (Via fax to 202-228-6363)

Congressman Bob Goodlatte (bob.goodlatte@mail.house.gov)

Senator Frank Ruff (sen.ruff@verizon.net)

Del. Ben Cline (Del Cline@house.state.va.us)

Mr. Alan Pollock, VA DEQ (alan.pollock@deq.virginia.gov)

Mr. Russ Perkinson, VA DCR (russ.perkinson@dcr.virginia.gov)

Mr. Chris Pomeroy, VAMWA (chris@aqualaw.com)